# THE ROLE OF GREEN BRAND TRUST, AWARENESS, AND PREFERENCE IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GREEN MARKETING AND GREEN BRAND LOYALTY: THE CASE OF MOBILE PHONE BRANDS

# Vo Ta Thanh Minh<sup>1</sup>, Vu Quang Minh<sup>1</sup>, Ha Nguyen Tan Tai<sup>1</sup>, Nguyen Thi Quynh Mai<sup>1</sup>, Truong Bich Phuong<sup>1\*</sup>

DOI: 10.52932/jfmr.v3i4en.946

## Appendix 1. Original scale

| Observed variables      | Original scale  | Source                   |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| Green Marketing         |   |                          |  |  |
| GM1                     | Believe that businesses use environmentally friendly Simanjuntak et al. (packaging                                    |                          |  |  |
| GM2                     | Believe that businesses recycle, reuse, and recreate product packaging materials                                      |                          |  |  |
| GM3                     | Believe that businesses conduct product promotions that explain the positive impact they will have on the environment |                          |  |  |
| <b>Green Brand Trus</b> | t   |                          |  |  |
| GBT1                    | I feel that this brand's environmental commitments are generally reliable   | Salehzadeh et al. (2021) |  |  |
| GBT2                    | I feel that this brand's environmental performance is generally dependable  |                          |  |  |
| GBT3                    | This brand's environmental concerns meet my expectation   |                          |  |  |
| GBT4                    | This brand keeps promises and commitment for the environment  |                          |  |  |
| Green Brand Awar        | reness  |                          |  |  |
| GBA1                    | You are aware of the environmental efforts exerted by this brand.   | Mourad & Ahmed (2012)    |  |  |
| GBA2                    | You have seen some environmental labels and slogans for this brand.   |                          |  |  |
| GBA3                    | You recognize the meaning of the environmental slogans and symbols that your brand uses in its marketing campaigns.   | _                        |  |  |
| GBA4                    | You can remember some of the environmental symbols that your brand used in their marketing campaigns.                 |                          |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Foreign Trade University Campus II – Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: truongbichphuong.cs2@ftu.edu.vn

| Observed variables       | Original scale   | Source                |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| GBA5                     | If you notice an environmental label on one brand you will prefer to use it.   |                       |  |  |
| <b>Green Brand Prefe</b> | erence   |                       |  |  |
| GBP1                     | It makes sense to use this brand instead of other brands<br>because of its environmental commitments, even if they are<br>the same.    | Mourad & Ahmed (2012) |  |  |
| GBP2                     | Even if another brand has the same environmental features as this brand, you would prefer to use this brand.                           |                       |  |  |
| GBP3                     | If there is another brand's environmental performance as good as this brand's, you prefer to use this brand.                           |                       |  |  |
| GBP4                     | If the environmental concern of another brand is not different from that of this brand in any way, it seems smarter to use this brand. |                       |  |  |
| Green Brand Loyalty      |  |                       |  |  |
| GBL1                     | I recommend this brand to others because it is environmentally friendly  | Mourad & Ahmed (2012) |  |  |
| GBL2                     | I will always use this brand because of its concern for the environment  |                       |  |  |
| GBL3                     | I am willing to pay more than any other brand to benefit from this brand's environmental commitment                                    |                       |  |  |

**Appendix 2.** Descriptive statistics of the official survey sample (n=340)

| Criteria       |                            | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Gender         | Male                       | 135       | 39.7           |
|                | Female                     | 205       | 60.3           |
| Age            | 18-25                      | 239       | 70.3           |
|                | 25-30                      | 68        | 20.0           |
|                | 30-35                      | 33        | 9.7            |
| Occupation     | Student                    | 221       | 65.0           |
|                | Worker                     | 119       | 35.0           |
| Income         | Under 10 million VND/month | 208       | 61.2           |
|                | Over 10 million VND/month  | 132       | 38.8           |
| Favorite Brand | Apple                      | 183       | 53.8           |

| Criteria | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------------|
| Samsung  | 108       | 31.8           |
| Xiaomi   | 17        | 5.0            |
| Oppo     | 14        | 4.1            |
| Others   | 18        | 5.3            |

Appendix 3. Reliability and convergent validity

| Key var | riables | Outer Loadings | Cronbach's Alpha | CR    | AVE   |
|---------|---------|----------------|------------------|-------|-------|
| GM      | GM1     | 0.799          | 0.838            | 0.634 | 0.711 |
|         | GM2     | 0.816          |                  |       |       |
|         | GM3     | 0.773          |                  |       |       |
| GBT     | GBT1    | 0.805          | 0.872            | 0.630 | 0.805 |
|         | GBT2    | 0.773          |                  |       |       |
|         | GBT3    | 0.824          |                  |       |       |
|         | GBT4    | 0.772          |                  |       |       |
| GBA     | GBA1    | 0.754          | 0.878            | 0.590 | 0.826 |
|         | GBA2    | 0.784          |                  |       |       |
|         | GBA3    | 0.816          |                  |       |       |
|         | GBA4    | 0.761          |                  |       |       |
|         | GBA5    | 0.723          |                  |       |       |
| GBP     | GBP1    | 0.743          | 0.832            | 0.554 | 0.741 |
|         | GBP2    | 0.763          |                  |       |       |
|         | GBP3    | 0.746          |                  |       |       |
|         | GBP4    | 0.725          |                  |       |       |
| GBL     | GBL1    | 0.846          | 0.896            | 0.741 | 0.825 |
|         | GBL2    | 0.902          |                  |       |       |
|         | GBL3    | 0.833          |                  |       |       |

Total Variance Explained

| Factor | % of Variance | Cumulative % |
|--------|---------------|--------------|
| 1      | 40.593        | 40.593       |

Appendix 4. Discriminant Validity, VIF, and R<sup>2</sup> Summary

| Discriminant            | validity (HTM)   | Γ)                   |       |       |       |  |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
|                         | GM               | GBT                  | GBA   | GBP   | GBL   |  |
| GM                      |                  |                      |       |       |       |  |
| GBT                     | 0.847            |                      |       |       |       |  |
| GBA                     | 0.758            | 0.839                |       |       |       |  |
| GBP                     | 0.575            | 0.633                | 0.528 |       |       |  |
| GBL                     | 0.585            | 0.755                | 0.797 | 0.631 |       |  |
| Variance Infla          | ntion Factor (V  | IF)                  |       |       |       |  |
| GM                      |                  | 1.000                | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.868 |  |
| GBT                     |                  |                      |       |       | 2.437 |  |
| GBP                     |                  |                      |       |       | 1.404 |  |
| GBA                     |                  |                      |       |       | 2.032 |  |
| GBL                     |                  |                      |       |       |       |  |
| Coefficient of          | Determination    | (R <sup>2</sup> )    |       |       |       |  |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$          |                  | 0.417                | 0.339 | 0.195 | 0.533 |  |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |                  | 0.416                | 0.338 | 0.194 | 0.531 |  |
| Appendix 5. Ef          | fect Size (f²)   |                      |       |       |       |  |
|                         | GM               | GBT                  | GBA   | GBP   | GBL   |  |
| GM                      |                  | 0.714                | 0.513 | 0.242 | 0.004 |  |
| GBT                     |                  |                      |       |       | 0.051 |  |
| GBA                     |                  |                      |       |       | 0.191 |  |
| GBP                     |                  |                      |       |       | 0.09  |  |
| GBL                     |                  |                      |       |       |       |  |
| Appendix 6. Pro         | edictive Relevan | ace Coefficient (Q2) |       |       |       |  |
| Dependent Va            | riable           |                      | $Q^2$ |       |       |  |
| GBT                     |                  |                      | 0,330 |       |       |  |
| GBA                     |                  |                      | 0,182 |       |       |  |
| GBP                     |                  |                      | 0,198 |       |       |  |
| GBL                     |                  |                      | 0,409 |       |       |  |

Appendix 7. Research definitions

#### Green Marketing

A study by Simanjuntak et al. (2023) defined green marketing as a marketing practice oriented toward environmental friendliness, aiming to minimize the use of raw materials in production processes. These activities are designed not only to generate profit but also to promote sustainable development and reduce negative environmental impacts, primarily by encouraging consumers to purchase green products and services (Simanjuntak et al., 2023). In this study, green marketing refers to environmentally oriented marketing activities that aim to reduce the use of raw materials, support sustainable development, minimize ecological impact, and promote consumer adoption of green products and services.

#### Green Brand Trust

Green brand trust is defined as the willingness to rely on a product or service based on the expectation that it possesses reliability, benevolence, and competence in delivering environmentally effective outcomes associated with the brand (Salehzadeh et al., 2021). Accordingly, this study conceptualizes green brand trust as consumers' readiness to place confidence in a brand, grounded in their perception of its environmental responsibility, ethical intent, and operational credibility.

#### Green Brand Awareness

Brand awareness plays a crucial role in shaping consumer perceptions and decisions, particularly in the context of sustainable consumption. In addition, green brand awareness shows the ability to recall and recognize a particular brand through its involvement in or organization of green activities (Mourad & Ahmed, 2012). Based on the synthesis of these concepts, green brand awareness in this study refers to the extent to which consumers can identify and remember a brand based on its visible commitment to environmental initiatives, including the organization, sponsorship, or participation in eco-friendly activities.

#### Green Brand Preference

Green brand preference is the tendency to favor and choose a green brand over other green brands, even when the products are similar in terms of price, functionality, and availability (Mourad & Ahmed, 2012). A company's demonstration of commitment or concern for the environment can influence the perceived value of its products, leading to greater preference for green brands (Mourad & Ahmed, 2012). Accordingly, green brand preference in this study refers to the tendency of consumers to choose a specific environmentally-friendly brand over its competitors, even when those alternatives are equally priced, functional, and accessible.

## Green Brand Loyalty

Green brand loyalty can be defined as the commitment of consumers to repurchase or continue using a green brand, typically expressed through repeated purchases of green products or services, or other proactive behaviors such as word-of-mouth recommendations (Kang & Hur, 2011). In this research, it is conceptualized as consumers' decision to remain loyal to a green

brand, even when alternative options are available, with this loyalty being expressed through actions such as repeat purchasing and recommending the brand to others.